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Initial state

Final state

\[\text{pos(robot,1/1), pos(ball,1/1)}\] \hspace{1cm} \[\text{pos(robot,3/3), pos(ball,3/3)}\]
move(X,Y):- p3(X,Z),p3(Z,Y).
p3(X,Y):- p2(X,Z), drop(Z,Y).
p2(X,Y):- grab(X,Z), p1(Z,Y).
p1(X,Y):- north(X,Z), east(Z,Y).

move(X,Y):- p3(X,Z),drop(Z,Y).
p3(X,Y):- grab(X,Z), p2(Z,Y).
p2(X,Y):- p1(X,Z), p1(Z,Y).
p1(X,Y):- north(X,Z), east(Z,Y).
move(X,Y):- p3(X,Z),p3(Z,Y).
p3(X,Y):- p2(X,Z), drop(Z,Y).
p2(X,Y):- grab(X,Z), p1(Z,Y).
p1(X,Y):- north(X,Z), east(Z,Y).
Inefficient solution

Efficient solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>drop</th>
<th>grab</th>
<th>north</th>
<th>east</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

resource complexity: 12

resource complexity: 8
Iterative descent

1. find first consistent solution with minimal textual complexity
2. repeat until convergence:
   A. calculate resource complexity of learned solution
   B. learn new solution with a maximum resource bound that is smaller than the resource complexity of the previous solution

Theorem: guaranteed to converge to minimal resource complexity hypothesis
MetagolO

Implementation of meta-interpretive learning*, a form of inductive logic programming based on a Prolog meta-interpreter, which supports predicate invention and the learning of recursive theories

Actions: go_to_bottom/2, go_to_top/2, find_next_sender/2, find_next_recipient/2, take_letter/2, give_letter/2, bag_letter/2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. objects</th>
<th>Mean resource complexity</th>
<th>Metagol$_O$</th>
<th>Metagol$_D$</th>
<th>Composable tight bound $2(n + d)$</th>
<th>Non-composable tight bound $n(2d + 2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial state
[2,5,6,1,9,7,3,4,8]

Final state
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]

Actions:
comp_adjacent/2
decrement_end/2
go_to_start/2
pick_up_left/2
split/2
combine/2
List length

Mean resource complexity

- Metagol
- Metagol
- Tight bound n log n
- Tight bound n(n-1)/2
Conclusions

• Suggests that we can build delivery and sorting robots which learn resource efficient strategies from examples

Future work

• Optimise the iterative descent search procedure
• Generalise to a broader class of logic programs
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